

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: re stonehenge
Date: 10 March 2022 13:25:21

The first thing I wish to say is I regularly drive past Stoneehenge on a303 it is something every person coming to the West Country from London or elsewhere looks forward to seeing. It is a huge tourist attraction. Drivers need to actually SEE it. It is a joy. There are enough fields on the opposite side to Stonehenge which can be utilised to widen the road. This is what needs to be done. Definitely Not a tunnel. I would also ad

National Highways has not:

- **made any changes to the Scheme to take the 2021 World Heritage Committee Decision into account;**
- acknowledged that the Secretary of State found the Scheme's impact on the proposed western cutting area would be “**significantly adverse**”;
- **fully assessed alternative routes less damaging to the World Heritage Site** e.g., a southern bypass route would be cheaper even if there might be some problems with it, while a longer tunnel would reduce impact on the World Heritage Site;
- **explored alternatives to hard engineering** solutions in the context of safeguarding and enhancing the World Heritage Site - e.g. a package of measures to reduce road traffic, road emissions and improve access to the South West;
- updated the scheme construction costs; nor
- updated the carbon assessment and costs.

Other changes since the Examination closed:

- concern for climate change has increased with the latest Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change report and the need to take urgent action to reduce emissions, not increase them as any new Stonehenge road scheme would; and
- the Environment Act 2021 sets new ambitions around nature recovery.
-
- Brenda Ellis [REDACTED]